

# Higher and iterated topological Hochschild homology

Notes for a talk at the 2015 European Talbot Workshop

Bruno Stonek

July 1, 2015

## Contents

|   |  |   |
|---|--|---|
| 1 | The algebraic case                                   | 1 |
| 2 | Tensored categories                                  | 2 |
| 3 | Intermezzo: motivation and the main idea             | 3 |
| 4 | Orthogonal spectra and the topological Loday functor | 4 |
| 5 | Higher and iterated $THH$                            | 5 |
| 6 | The case of $H\mathbb{F}_p$                          | 6 |

## Notation

$\mathcal{T}$  is the category of based compactly generated weakly Hausdorff spaces.

$\mathcal{U}$  is the category of unbased compactly generated weakly Hausdorff spaces.

$\Delta$  is the *simplex category*, whose objects are non-empty finite ordinals  $[n]$  and whose arrows are order-preserving maps.

$\mathbf{Fin}$  is the category whose objects are finite sets and whose arrows are functions.

$k\text{-Mod}$ ,  $k\text{-Alg}$ ,  $k\text{-CAlg}$  are the categories of  $k$ -modules,  $k$ -algebras and  $k$ -commutative algebras, respectively.

If  $\mathcal{C}$  is a category, then  $s\mathcal{C}$  is the category of simplicial  $\mathcal{C}$ -objects.

## 1 The algebraic case

Let  $k$  be a commutative ground ring and  $A$  be a commutative  $k$ -algebra. Consider the Hochschild homology of  $A$ , considered as a commutative simplicial  $k$ -algebra  $HH_\bullet(A) : \Delta^{\text{op}} \rightarrow k\text{-CAlg}$ , i.e.  $([n] \mapsto A^{\otimes(n+1)})$  with the standard Hochschild faces and degeneracies.

Loday ([Lod89]) realized that this functor factors through the category of finite sets. More precisely, there is a functor

$$\Lambda_{(-)}(-) : \mathbf{Fin} \times k\text{-CAlg} \rightarrow k\text{-CAlg}$$

called the (*algebraic*) *Loday functor*, such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta^{\text{op}} & \xrightarrow{HH_{\bullet}(A)} & k\text{-CAlg} \\ S^1 \downarrow & \nearrow \Lambda_{(-)}(A) & \\ \mathbf{Fin} & & \end{array} \quad (1)$$

Here  $S^1 : \Delta^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Fin}$  is the standard simplicial circle. Explicitly,  $S^1_q$  has  $q + 1$  simplices  $\{x_0, \dots, x_q\}$ , the face maps are  $d_i(x_t) = \begin{cases} x_t & \text{if } t \leq i \\ x_{t-1} & \text{if } t > i \end{cases}$  and the degeneracies are  $s_j(x_t) = \begin{cases} x_t & \text{if } t \leq j \\ x_{t+1} & \text{if } t > j \end{cases}$ . Thus all of the simplices are degenerate except from one in degree zero and one in degree one.

By functoriality we can extend the Loday functor to a functor  $s\mathbf{Fin} \times k\text{-CAlg} \rightarrow s(k\text{-CAlg})$  (and in fact, we can extend it to all of  $s\mathbf{Set}$ , using the fact that every set is the directed colimit of its finite subsets). Hence the commutativity of (1) can be expressed succinctly as

$$HH_{\bullet}(A) = \Lambda_{S^1}(A). \quad (2)$$

The definition of the Loday functor is as follows. If  $X \in \mathbf{Fin}$  and  $A \in k\text{-CAlg}$ , define

$$\Lambda_X(A) = A^{\otimes |X|}. \quad (3)$$

If  $(f, \phi) : (X, A) \rightarrow (Y, B)$ , we associate to it the morphism

$$A^{\otimes |X|} \rightarrow B^{\otimes |Y|}, \quad (a_x)_{x \in X} \mapsto \left( \prod_{x \in f^{-1}(y)} \phi(a_x) \right)_{y \in Y} \quad (4)$$

where if  $f^{-1}(y)$  is empty then the product is interpreted to give the unit of  $A$ . Observe that we use the commutativity of  $A$  for those products to make sense.<sup>1</sup>

The commutativity of (1) is now a simple verification.

This allows for the study of  $\Lambda_X(A)$  for simplicial sets  $X$  other than  $S^1$ . For example, higher algebraic Hochschild homology was introduced by Pirashvili ([Pir00]), who studied  $\Lambda_{S^n}(A)$ , which he called ‘‘Hochschild homology of order  $n$ ’’ and which stabilizes to  $\Gamma$ -homology as introduced by Robinson & Whitehouse.

## 2 Tensoring categories

**Definition 2.1.** A category  $\mathcal{C}$  enriched over a closed symmetric monoidal category  $\mathcal{V}$  is *tensoring* if for every  $V \in \mathcal{V}$  and  $C \in \mathcal{C}$  there exists an object  $V \otimes C \in \mathcal{C}$  such that there is an isomorphism in  $\mathcal{V}$

$$\mathcal{C}(V \otimes C, C') \cong \mathcal{V}(V, \mathcal{C}(C, C')).$$

By general categorical arguments, we get a tensor bifunctor  $\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  and thus for every  $C \in \mathcal{C}$ ,  $V \in \mathcal{V}$  an adjunction

$$- \otimes C : \mathcal{V} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{C} : \mathcal{C}(C, -). \quad (5)$$

<sup>1</sup>The formula has to be interpreted as follows. Choose for every  $X \in \mathbf{Fin}$  an isomorphism with  $[n]$  where  $|X| = n + 1$ . This determines for every  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  a map  $f : [n] \rightarrow [m]$ . Then the map (4) is  $a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n \mapsto \prod_{i \in f^{-1}(0)} \phi(a_i) \otimes \dots \otimes \prod_{i \in f^{-1}(m)} \phi(a_i)$ . This is well defined and does not depend on the choice of isomorphisms of finite sets with their cardinals, by commutativity of  $A$ .

*Remark 2.2.* Denote by  $\boxtimes$  the monoidal product in  $\mathcal{V}$ . Then if  $U, V \in \mathcal{V}, C \in \mathcal{C}$ , we have

$$(U \boxtimes V) \otimes C \cong U \otimes (V \otimes C).$$

This follows from uniqueness of adjoints, since both functors  $- \otimes (V \otimes C), (- \boxtimes V) \otimes C : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  are left adjoints to the functor  $\mathcal{V}(V, \mathcal{C}(C, -)) : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ .

*Example 2.3.* • If we take  $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{C}$ , then the tensor is the monoidal product of  $\mathcal{V}$ .

- Every category  $\mathcal{C}$  with copowers (i.e. with constant coproducts) is tensored over **Set** (which is cartesian closed, hence closed symmetric monoidal with  $\otimes = \times$ ). The tensor  $X \otimes C$  for  $X \in \mathbf{Set}$  and  $C \in \mathcal{C}$  is the copower  $\coprod_{i \in X} C$ .

For example,  $\mathcal{C} = k\text{-}\mathbf{CAlg}$  is cocomplete. The coproduct is the tensor product, so for  $X \in \mathbf{Set}, C \in k\text{-}\mathbf{CAlg}$ , we have  $X \otimes C = C^{\otimes |X|}$ .

- The category  $s\mathbf{Set} = \mathbf{Fun}(\Delta^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{Set})$  is cartesian closed pointwise. If  $\mathcal{C}$  is a category with copowers then  $s\mathcal{C}$  is tensored over  $s\mathbf{Set}$ : if  $X \in s\mathbf{Set}$  and  $C \in s(k\text{-}\mathbf{CAlg})$ , then  $(X \otimes C)_n = \coprod_{i \in X(n)} C(n)$ .

For example, the category  $s(k\text{-}\mathbf{CAlg})$  is tensored over  $s\mathbf{Set}$ . Explicitly, if  $X \in s\mathbf{Set}$  and  $C \in s(k\text{-}\mathbf{CAlg})$  then  $(X \otimes C)_n = C(n)^{\otimes |X(n)|}$ .

Since the category  $k\text{-}\mathbf{CAlg}$  embeds in  $s(k\text{-}\mathbf{CAlg})$  as constant simplicial algebras, for  $A \in k\text{-}\mathbf{CAlg}$  and  $X \in s\mathbf{Set}$  we get  $X \otimes A \in s(k\text{-}\mathbf{CAlg}), (X \otimes A)_n = X_n \otimes A$ .

- We can thus express (3) for  $X \in s\mathbf{Set}$  as:

$$\Lambda_X(A) = X \otimes A, \tag{6}$$

and in particular, we can express (2) as

$$HH_\bullet(A) = S^1 \otimes A.$$

*Remark 2.4.* It follows from the Quillen adjunction  $|-| : s\mathbf{Set} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{Top} : \text{Sing}$  that giving an enrichment over  $s\mathbf{Set}$  or over  $\mathbf{Top}$  is equivalent. Same remark for the pointed versions. It also holds for the subcategories  $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{T}$  of nice spaces (see Notation at the beginning of the note).

### 3 Intermezzo: motivation and the main idea

**Main idea:** define a “topological Loday functor” which will make a sense out of the expression  $THH(A) = \Lambda_{S^1}(A)$ , for  $A$  a commutative ring spectrum. Then define higher topological Hochschild homology as  $\Lambda_{S^n}(A)$  and iterated topological Hochschild homology as  $\Lambda_{T^n}(A)$  where  $T^n$  is the  $n$ -torus.

Interest on  $\Lambda_{S^n}(A)$  stems from the fact that there are stabilization maps  $\pi_*(\Lambda_{S^n}(A)) \rightarrow \pi_{*+1}(\Lambda_{S^{n+1}}(A))$  whose colimit gives  $TAQ_{*-1}(A)$ , topological André-Quillen homology of  $A$ .

On the other hand, interest on  $\Lambda_{T^n}(A)$  comes from its relation to algebraic  $K$ -theory: in words of [BCD10],  $\Lambda_{T^n}(A)$  is proposed as “a computationally tractable cousin of  $n$ -fold iterated algebraic  $K$ -theory”.

One has to be careful with the definitions. The commutative ring spectrum  $THH(A)$ , when suitably constructed, is endowed with an action of  $S^1$ . This allows for the study of topological cyclic homology, which is close to algebraic  $K$ -theory. We would like our Loday functor to respect this structure, i.e. the expression  $\Lambda_{S^1}(A) = THH(A)$  to be an equivalence of  $G$ -equivariant commutative ring spectra for every finite subgroup  $G$  of  $S^1$ . More generally, iterated  $THH$  has a torus action, and we would like our Loday

functor to respect it. This should then allow for definitions of iterated topological cyclic homology, closer to iterated algebraic  $K$ -theory, but we will not touch upon these matters.

The approach of [BCD10] is, as they say, “hands-on”, and uses Segal’s  $\Gamma$ -spaces as a foundation for spectra, and Bökstedt’s original approach to  $THH$ . We choose to present the approach followed by [Sto11], which settles for orthogonal spectra. This has the advantage that the categorical tensor has the right equivariant homotopy type: we will define  $\Lambda_X(A) := X \otimes A$ , which wouldn’t give an equivariantly correct model with the approach of [BCD10].

## 4 Orthogonal spectra and the topological Loday functor

Let us briefly recall the main definitions surrounding orthogonal spectra. The source for this section is [Sto11]: we refer the reader there for further details.

An *orthogonal spectrum*  $X = \{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a sequence of based spaces  $X_n \in \mathcal{T}$  together with the following data:

1. For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , an action of the orthogonal group  $O_n$  on  $X_n$ ,
2. For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , a *structure map*  $\sigma_n : X_n \wedge S^1 \rightarrow X_{n+1}$  such that for any  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ , the map

$$X_n \wedge S^m \rightarrow X_{n+m}$$

given by repeatedly applying the structure map adequately smashed with the identity, is  $O_n \times O_m$ -equivariant. Here  $O_n \times O_m$  is naturally included in  $O_{n+m}$  as block matrices.

A *morphism* of orthogonal spectra  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a sequence of maps  $\{f_n : X_n \rightarrow Y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  the following square commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_n \wedge S^1 & \xrightarrow{f_n \wedge \text{id}} & Y_n \wedge S^1 \\ \sigma_n \downarrow & & \downarrow \sigma'_n \\ X_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{f_{n+1}} & Y_{n+1} \end{array}$$

We denote by  $\mathcal{Sp}$  the category of orthogonal spectra. We have a sphere orthogonal spectrum  $\mathbb{S}$ , and  $(\mathcal{Sp}, \wedge, \mathbb{S})$  is a closed symmetric monoidal category. Commutative monoids in  $\mathcal{Sp}$  are called *commutative orthogonal ring spectra*. These form a category, whose objects  $R$  we take as ground objects, and consider modules and algebras over these.

The category  $\mathcal{Sp}$  is enriched over the monoidal category  $\mathcal{T}$ , by topologizing the hom-set  $\mathcal{Sp}(X, Y)$  as the subset of the product  $\prod_n \mathcal{T}(X_n, Y_n)$  of maps that make the above square commute. Moreover it is tensored over it: for an orthogonal spectrum  $A$  and a based space  $X$ , we define the *tensor*  $X \otimes A \in \mathcal{Sp}$  as

$$(X \otimes A)_n = X \wedge A_n$$

with structure maps induced by the ones of  $A$ .

The category  $\mathcal{Sp}$  is also enriched and tensored over the monoidal (cartesian) category  $\mathcal{U}$  of unbased spaces, by adjoining a base point (cf. [Sto11, (A.2.15)]). (Commutative) orthogonal ring spectra and their modules and algebras are also thus tensored over  $\mathcal{U}$ , by general categorical arguments (cf. [Sto11, (3.1.6)]).

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $R$  be a commutative orthogonal ring spectrum. The *topological Loday functor*

$$\Lambda_{(-)}^R(-) : \mathcal{U} \times R\text{-CAlg} \rightarrow R\text{-CAlg}$$

is the categorical tensor:

$$\Lambda_{(-)}^R(-) = - \otimes -.$$

We will suppress the upper index when  $R$  is the sphere spectrum.

We will use the following

*Properties 4.2.* 1. Let  $X, Y \in \mathcal{U}$ . Then

$$\Lambda_{X \times Y}^R(A) = \Lambda_X^R(\Lambda_Y^R(A)).$$

This follows from remark (2.2).

2. If  $X \rightarrow Y$  is a weak equivalence of spaces, then the induced map  $\Lambda_X A \rightarrow \Lambda_Y A$  is a weak equivalence.
3. The Loday functor preserves pushouts along cofibrations. More precisely, If  $L \rightarrow X$  and  $L \rightarrow K$  are maps between spaces and one of them is a cofibration, then there is a weak equivalence

$$\Lambda_{X \sqcup_L K} A \simeq \Lambda_X A \wedge_{\Lambda_L A} \Lambda_K A.$$

## 4.1 Equivariancy

Orthogonal spectra have the particularity that a naive approach to equivariancy actually captures the information at any orthogonal representation, thus justifying the following

**Definition 4.3.** Let  $G$  be a topological group. A *G-orthogonal spectrum* is an orthogonal spectrum  $X$  with a morphism of topological monoids  $G \rightarrow \mathcal{S}p(X, X)$ .

If  $G$  is a topological group,  $A$  is an  $R$ -commutative algebra and  $X$  is a  $G$ -space then  $\Lambda_X^R(A)$  is a  $G$ -orthogonal  $R$ -commutative algebra. Denote by  $GU$  the category of  $G$ -spaces, and by  $G-R\mathcal{CAlg}$  the category of  $G$ -orthogonal  $R$ -commutative algebras. Then we get an equivariant Loday functor

$$\Lambda_{(-)}^R(-) : GU \times R\mathcal{CAlg} \rightarrow G-R\mathcal{CAlg}.$$

We refer the reader to [Sto11, (2.1), (2.2.1), (3.2.7)] for details.

## 5 Higher and iterated THH

Topological Hochschild homology for orthogonal ring spectra is now defined in analogy with equation (2) for algebraic Hochschild homology:

**Definition 5.1.** Let  $A$  be a commutative orthogonal ring spectrum. We define its *topological Hochschild homology*, which is an  $S^1$ -commutative orthogonal ring spectrum, as:

$$THH(A) := \Lambda_{S^1}(A).$$

We also define

$$\Lambda_{T^n}(A) := \text{the } n\text{-th iterated topological Hochschild homology of } A$$

and

$$\Lambda_{S^n}(A) := \text{the } n\text{-th higher topological Hochschild homology of } A.$$

*Remark 5.2.* Since  $T^n = (S^1)^n$ , (4.2.1) implies that iterated  $THH$  deserves its name:

$$\Lambda_{T^n}(A) = \overbrace{THH(\dots(THH(A)))}^{n \text{ times}}.$$

Higher  $THH$  has hitherto been studied further than iterated  $THH$  (see e.g. [DLR15], [Sch11]). We choose to describe the case of  $A = H\mathbb{F}_p$  as studied in [Vee14], for it exhibits interesting computations in both higher and iterated  $THH$ .

## 6 The case of $H\mathbb{F}_p$

In [Vee14], Veen calculates the homotopy groups of higher and iterated  $THH$  of the Eilenberg-Mac Lane spectrum  $H\mathbb{F}_p$  in a certain range. Let us review his results (but see also the last sentence at the end of (6.1)).

### 6.1 Higher $THH$ of $H\mathbb{F}_p$

The main tool Veen uses to compute  $\pi_*(\Lambda_{S^n} H\mathbb{F}_p)$  is the bar spectral sequence, which is the spectral sequence arising from the skeletal filtration of a simplicial spectrum [EKMM97, X.2.9], applied to the bar construction [EKMM97, IV.7.7]. The particular incarnation we will be handling is the following: if  $A$  is a bounded-below orthogonal ring spectrum,  $M$  is a right  $A$ -module and  $N$  is a left  $A$ -module, there is a strongly convergent, right half-plane, homological spectral sequence

$$E_{s,t}^2 = \mathrm{Tor}_{s,t}^{\pi_*(A)}(\pi_*M, \pi_*N) \Rightarrow \pi_{s+t}(B(M, A, N)) = \pi_{s+t}(M \wedge_A^L N). \quad (7)$$

Recall that Bökstedt proved that  $THH_*(H\mathbb{F}_p) = \pi_*(\Lambda_{S^1} H\mathbb{F}_p) = \mathbb{F}_p[\mu]$ ,  $|\mu| = 2$ . The result we will get will be expressed by taking iterated Tors starting with this algebra.

**Definition 6.1.** We denote by  $B_n$  be the  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -Hopf algebra defined recursively as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} B_1 &= \mathbb{F}_p[\mu], \quad |\mu| = 2, \\ B_n &= \mathrm{Tor}_*^{B_{n-1}}(\mathbb{F}_p, \mathbb{F}_p). \end{aligned}$$

Cartan [Car54] determined an explicit presentation of such algebras. A more recent account is Proposition 7.4 in [Vee14]. For example,  $B_2 = \mathrm{Tor}_*^{\mathbb{F}_p[\mu]}(\mathbb{F}_p, \mathbb{F}_p) = E_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\beta)$  with  $|\beta| = 3$ , where  $E$  denotes an exterior algebra.

**Theorem 6.2.** *If  $p$  is an odd prime and  $n \leq 2p + 2$ , then there is an  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -Hopf algebra isomorphism [Vee14, (7.6)], [BLP<sup>+</sup>14, (4.4)]:*

$$\pi_*(\Lambda_{S^n} H\mathbb{F}_p) \cong B_n. \quad (8)$$

*In the case  $p = 2$ , the isomorphism is also true for  $n = 2$  [Vee13, (2.3.1)], and a similar argument shows that it is true for  $n = 3$  [BLP<sup>+</sup>14, (5.1)].*

*Comment on the proof:* The idea is to inductively use the bar spectral sequence (7). If  $R$  is a commutative orthogonal ring spectrum and  $X \in \mathcal{U}$ , then since  $\Sigma X$  is the pushout of  $CX \leftarrow X \rightarrow CX$ , by 4.2.2 and 4.2.3 we get

$$\Lambda_{\Sigma X} R \simeq R \wedge_{\Lambda_X R} R \simeq B(R, \Lambda_X R, R)$$

and thus the bar spectral spectral sequence computing  $\pi_*(B(H\mathbb{F}_p, \Lambda_{S^{n-1}} H\mathbb{F}_p, H\mathbb{F}_p))$  takes the form

$$E_{s,t}^2 = \mathrm{Tor}_{s,t}^{\pi_*(\Lambda_{S^{n-1}} H\mathbb{F}_p)}(\mathbb{F}_p, \mathbb{F}_p) \Rightarrow \pi_{s+t}(\Lambda_{S^n} H\mathbb{F}_p). \quad (9)$$

This is an  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -Hopf algebra spectral sequence, and this extra structure is very valuable in the computations. The base case  $\pi_*(\Lambda_{S^1} H\mathbb{F}_p) = B_1$  goes back to Bökstedt. Thus, the  $E^2$ -term in (9) for  $n = 2$  is  $B_2$ .

Now the isomorphism (8) for any  $p$  and for  $n = 2$  follows easily, for the  $E^2$ -term in (9) is  $E_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\beta)$  which is concentrated in bidegrees  $(0, 0)$  and  $(1, 2)$ , and this immediately gives that there are no non-trivial differentials and no non-trivial extensions. Thus we get that the  $E^2$ -term in (9) for  $n = 3$  is  $B_3$ . In this  $n = 3$  case, we can also similarly conclude that the spectral sequence collapses at  $E^2$  and that there are not non-trivial extensions, after identifying  $B_3$  as a divided power algebra.

For  $p$  odd and  $n \leq 2p + 2$ , once again the spectral sequence collapses at the  $E^2$ -page and there are no non-trivial extensions. For  $n \leq 2p$  this was proven by Veen, and the bound was pushed to  $n \leq 2p + 2$  in [BLP<sup>+</sup>14]. The arguments depend on more careful analysis of the spectral sequence.  $\square$

I should now say that, actually, the isomorphism (8) holds for all  $n$  and all  $p$ . This is proven in [DLR15], although in its current preprint version this result is not explicitly stated, though it follows from their calculations.

## 6.2 Iterated $THH$ of $H\mathbb{F}_p$

**Theorem 6.3.** [Vee14, (1.1)] *Let  $p$  be an odd prime and  $1 \leq n \leq p$  if  $p \geq 5$ , and  $1 \leq n \leq 2$  if  $p = 3$ . Then there is an  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra isomorphism*

$$\pi_*(\Lambda_{T^n} H\mathbb{F}_p) \cong \bigotimes_{k=1}^n B_k^{\otimes \binom{n}{k}} \quad (10)$$

where the  $B$ -terms on the right correspond to definition 6.1.

*Comment on the proof:* We use another incarnation of our bar spectral sequence (7). Give  $T^n$  the structure of a product CW-complex, where  $S^1$  has one 0-cell and one 1-cell. Then  $T^n$  has one top  $n$ -cell: we have a pushout square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S^{n-1} & \longrightarrow & T_{n-1}^n \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ D^n & \longrightarrow & T^n, \end{array}$$

and therefore by 4.2.2 and 4.2.3 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_{T^n} H\mathbb{F}_p &\simeq H\mathbb{F}_p \wedge_{\Lambda_{S^{n-1}} H\mathbb{F}_p} \Lambda_{T_{n-1}^n} H\mathbb{F}_p \\ &\simeq B(H\mathbb{F}_p, \Lambda_{S^{n-1}} H\mathbb{F}_p, \Lambda_{T_{n-1}^n} H\mathbb{F}_p). \end{aligned}$$

The bar spectral sequence (7) for this last term thus takes the form

$$E_{s,t}^2 = \mathrm{Tor}_{s,t}^{\pi_*(\Lambda_{S^{n-1}} H\mathbb{F}_p)}(\mathbb{F}_p, \pi_*(\Lambda_{T_{n-1}^n} H\mathbb{F}_p)) \Rightarrow \pi_{s+t}(\Lambda_{T^n}(H\mathbb{F}_p)). \quad (11)$$

To identify this  $E^2$ -term, Veen uses the Bökstedt spectral sequence computing the homology of  $THH(\Lambda_{T_{n-1}^n} H\mathbb{F}_p) = \Lambda_{T^n} H\mathbb{F}_p$ :

$$HH_*(H_*(\Lambda_{T_{n-1}^n} H\mathbb{F}_p; \mathbb{F}_p)) \Rightarrow H_*(\Lambda_{T^n} H\mathbb{F}_p; \mathbb{F}_p).$$

An long and intricate analysis of (11) ensues. Compare the final description with the usual cell decomposition of  $T^n$ .  $\square$

Ausoni and Dundas have work in progress in the direction of extending the isomorphism (10) to all  $n$  and  $p$ .

## 6.3 Periodic elements

In this more hand-wavy paragraph I will outline the connection of Veen's work to Ausoni-Rognes' redshift conjecture. My understanding of these matters is severely sketchy, so a big *caveat lector* is in order.

Recall that the algebraic  $K$ -theory of  $H\mathbb{F}_p$  is in chromatic level 0: indeed, we have

$$K(H\mathbb{F}_p)^\wedge_p \simeq H\mathbb{Z}_p$$

which has non-trivial  $K(0)$ -homology and trivial  $K(m)$ -homology for  $m \geq 1$ ; here  $K(m)$  denotes the  $m$ -th Morava  $K$ -theory at the prime  $p$ .

The  $K$ -theory of  $H\mathbb{Z}_p$ , completed at  $p$ , is at chromatic level 1: it is related to topological  $K$ -theory. As an incarnation of the redshift conjecture, we wonder whether the  $n$ -fold algebraic  $K$ -theory of  $H\mathbb{F}_p$  completed at  $p$  is at chromatic level  $n - 1$ , i.e. if its  $K(m)$ -homology is non-trivial up to  $n - 1$  and is trivial from  $n$  onwards. A first approach to this is to consider  $n$ -fold  $THH$ . But this does not work as  $THH$  does not increase chromatic levels: for  $A$  commutative,  $THH(A)$  is an  $A$ -module, and thus  $K(n)_*(A) = 0$  implies  $K(n)_*(THH(A)) = 0$  by looking at the unit map  $A \rightarrow THH(A)$ .

Recall from section (4.1) that  $\Lambda_{T^n} H\mathbb{F}_p$  has a  $T^n$ -action. The iterated Dennis trace map  $K^{(n)} H\mathbb{F}_p \rightarrow \Lambda_{T^n} H\mathbb{F}_p$  factors through the homotopy fixed points  $(\Lambda_{T^n} H\mathbb{F}_p)^{hT^n}$ , which are a higher analogue of negative cyclic homology  $HC^-(\mathbb{F}_p)$  (see [Hoy15] for a proof that  $HC^-(A) = HH(A)^{S^1}$ ).

We wonder if  $(\Lambda_{T^n} H\mathbb{F}_p)^{hT^n}$  is at chromatic level  $n - 1$ : is  $v_{n-1}$  in

$$K(n-1)_*((\Lambda_{T^n} H\mathbb{F}_p)^{hT^n}) \quad (12)$$

non-zero? Connective Morava  $K$ -theory at the prime  $p$ , denoted  $k(n-1)$ , can detect  $v_{n-1}$  periodicity, and allows for finer analysis than the periodic theory. Indeed,  $v_{n-1}$  in (12) is non-zero if and only if all powers of  $v_{n-1}$  in

$$k(n-1)_*((\Lambda_{T^n} H\mathbb{F}_p)^{hT^n}) \quad (13)$$

are non-zero. Veen [Vee14, (10.7)] proves the first step in this direction: he proves that  $v_{n-1}$  is non-zero in (13). In particular, since there is a canonical map  $K^{(n)} H\mathbb{F}_p \rightarrow (\Lambda_{T^n} H\mathbb{F}_p)^{hT^n}$ , then  $v_{n-1}$  in

$$k(n-1)_*(K^{(n)} H\mathbb{F}_p)$$

is non-zero too.

## References

- [BCD10] Morten Brun, Gunnar Carlsson, and Bjørn Ian Dundas, *Covering homology*, Adv. Math. **225** (2010), no. 6, 3166–3213. MR 2729005 (2012c:19003)
- [BLP<sup>+</sup>14] Irina Bobkova, Ayelet Lindenstrauss, Kate Poirier, Birgit Richter, and Inna Zakharevich, *On the higher topological hochschild homology of  $\mathbb{F}_p$  and commutative  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -group algebras*, ArXiv preprint, 1312.6378:v2 (2014).
- [Car54] H Cartan, *Détermination des algèbres  $H_*(\pi, n; Z)$* , Séminaire Henri Cartan **7** (1954), no. 1, 1–24.
- [DLR15] Bjørn Ian Dundas, Ayelet Lindenstrauss, and Birgit Richter, *On higher topological Hochschild homology of rings of integers*, ArXiv e-prints, 1502.02504 (2015).
- [EKMM97] A. D. Elmendorf, I. Kriz, M. A. Mandell, and J. P. May, *Rings, modules, and algebras in stable homotopy theory*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 47, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997, With an appendix by M. Cole. MR 1417719 (97h:55006)
- [Hoy15] Marc Hoyois, *The fixed points of the circle action on Hochschild homology*, ArXiv e-prints, 1506.07123 (2015).
- [Lod89] Jean-Louis Loday, *Opérations sur l'homologie cyclique des algèbres commutatives*, Invent. Math. **96** (1989), no. 1, 205–230. MR 981743 (89m:18017)
- [Pir00] Teimuraz Pirashvili, *Hodge decomposition for higher order Hochschild homology*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **33** (2000), no. 2, 151–179. MR 1755114 (2001e:19006)
- [Rie14] Emily Riehl, *Categorical homotopy theory*, New Mathematical Monographs, vol. 24, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2014. MR 3221774
- [Sch11] Christian Schlichtkrull, *Higher topological Hochschild homology of Thom spectra*, J. Topol. **4** (2011), no. 1, 161–189. MR 2783381 (2012b:55006)
- [Sto11] Martin Stolz, *Equivariant structure on smash powers of commutative ring spectra*, PhD Thesis, University of Bergen (2011).



- [Vee13] Torleif Veen, *Detecting periodic elements in higher topological Hochschild homology*, PhD Thesis, University of Bergen (2013).
- [Vee14] ———, *Detecting periodic elements in higher topological Hochschild homology*, ArXiv e-prints, 1312.5699v2 (2014).