

**Introduction to stable homotopy theory**  
**Exercise sheet n° 6**

1. Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a cocomplete category with a set of maps  $\mathcal{J}$ .
  - i. Prove that the coproduct of cell complexes is a cell complex.
  - ii. Let  $X \rightarrow Y$  and  $Y \rightarrow Z$  be relative cell complexes. Prove that the composition is a relative cell complex.
  - iii. Let  $X_0 \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow \cdots$  be a sequential diagram in  $\mathcal{C}$  where all the arrows are relative cell complexes. Prove that the natural map  $X_0 \rightarrow \operatorname{colim}_n X_n$  is a relative cell complex. In particular, if  $X_0 = *$  or  $X_0$  is a cell complex, then  $\operatorname{colim}_n X_n$  is a cell complex.
  - iv. Prove that a pushout of a relative cell complex along any map is a relative cell complex.

2. Let  $\pi_*^s := \pi_*^s(S^0)$  be the  $\mathbb{N}$ -graded abelian group of stable homotopy groups of spheres.
  - i. Prove that the twist  $S^1 \wedge S^1 \rightarrow S^1 \wedge S^1$  has degree  $-1$ . Deduce that the twist  $S^n \wedge S^m \rightarrow S^m \wedge S^n$  has degree  $(-1)^{nm}$ .
  - ii. Let  $\alpha \in \pi_n^s, \beta \in \pi_m^s$ . Choose representatives  $[f : S^{n+k} \rightarrow S^k] \in \pi_n^s$  and  $[g : S^{m+k} \rightarrow S^k] \in \pi_m^s$ . Prove that the operation  $*$  :  $\pi_n^s \times \pi_m^s \rightarrow \pi_{n+m}^s$  given by

$$\alpha * \beta = [f \circ \Sigma^n g : S^{m+n+k} \rightarrow S^k] \in \pi_{n+m}^s$$

is well-defined and associative.

- iii. Prove that if  $k$  is even, then

$$[f \circ \Sigma^n g : S^{m+n+k} \rightarrow S^k] = [f \wedge g : S^{n+m+2k} \rightarrow S^{2k}]$$

as elements of  $\pi_{n+m}^s$ . What happens if  $k$  is odd?

- iv. Prove that  $*$  is graded-commutative. That is,

$$[f] * [g] = (-1)^{nm} [g] * [f]$$

for  $[f] \in \pi_n^s, [g] \in \pi_m^s$ .

- v. Finally, prove that  $*$  is distributive with respect to  $+$ , and so  $\pi_*^s$  is a graded-commutative graded ring. As a commentary: Each element of  $\pi_n^s, n \geq 1$  is nilpotent, i.e. some power of it is zero.<sup>1</sup> This is a theorem of Nishida (1973), see [Rav92].

3.
  - i. (\*) Prove that if  $A$  is a cell spectrum and  $f : B \rightarrow B'$  is a weak equivalence of  $\Omega$ -spectra, then  $f_* : [A, B] \rightarrow [A, B']$  is a bijection. Dually, prove that if  $f : A \rightarrow A'$  is a weak equivalence of cell spectra and  $B$  is an  $\Omega$ -spectrum, then  $f^* : [A', B] \rightarrow [A, B]$  is a bijection.<sup>2</sup>
  - ii. Prove that  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Ho}(\operatorname{Sp})}(X, Y) \cong [QX, RY]$ .
  - iii. If  $X$  is a cell spectrum, prove that  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Ho}(\operatorname{Sp})}(X, Y) \cong [X, RY]$ .
  - iv. If  $Y$  is an  $\Omega$ -spectrum, prove that  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Ho}(\operatorname{Sp})}(X, Y) \cong [QX, Y]$ .
  - v. If  $X$  is a cell spectrum and  $Y$  is an  $\Omega$ -spectrum, prove that  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Ho}(\operatorname{Sp})}(X, Y) \cong [X, Y]$ .

4. Let  $h\operatorname{Sp}$  be the category whose objects are the cell  $\Omega$ -spectra and whose maps  $X \rightarrow Y$  are given by  $[X, Y]$ . Prove that  $h\operatorname{Sp}$  and  $\operatorname{Ho}(\operatorname{Sp})$  are equivalent but not isomorphic. This  $h\operatorname{Sp}$  together with  $\delta : \operatorname{Sp} \rightarrow \operatorname{Ho}(\operatorname{Sp}), X \mapsto QRX, \delta(f) = [QRf]$  satisfies the weaker universal property of localization explained in Side remark 4.2 in the course.

REFERENCES

- [Hov99] Mark Hovey. *Model categories*, volume 63 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999.
- [MP12] J. P. May and K. Ponto. *More concise algebraic topology*. Chicago Lectures in Mathematics. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, IL, 2012. Localization, completion, and model categories.
- [Rav92] Douglas C. Ravenel. *Nilpotence and periodicity in stable homotopy theory*, volume 128 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1992. Appendix C by Jeff Smith.

<sup>1</sup>So good luck trying to describe  $\pi_*^s$  in terms of generators and relations!

<sup>2</sup>See [Hov99, 1.2.5.(iv)] or [MP12, 14.3.14, 14.4.8] for abstract proofs.